

I am strongly opposed to BPL, not so much out of concerns about BPL causing harmful interference to licensed services (which has already been proven to be the case), but rather, out of concern that if I exercise my privileges as a licensed Amateur Radio Operator, my HF transmissions are highly likely to cause interference to the Part 15 user. The fact that Part 15 specifically says that Part 15 users must simply accept any interference from licensed services, the reality is that the neighbor whose computer internet connection quits working whenever I get on the air is absolutely going to blame no one but me. There is no legitimate defense against this crucial criticism of BPL. Who will be the big loser? Hundreds of thousands of licensed operators, whose legal transmissions will cause random disruption of the BPL services. The BPL companies know exactly who will get the blame... the ham operator, not themselves. Please consider this aspect of the BPL controversy with much more weight. BPL interests should not be allowed to make it socially unacceptable for a ham to work HF. Such is the absolutely foreseeable and unavoidable result of HF transmissions in a neighborhood served by BPL.